

# Annexes

## 1. Annexe 1 : Tableaux

Identification (Ident.), homogeneity (Homog.), positive stereotypes (Pos. Ster.), positive emotional climate and social cohesion (Soc. Coh.) as a function of condition, time, and group

Time	Experimental		Control		Condition	Time	C x T
	Before	After	Before	After			
<i>Victims</i>							
Ident.	2.42 (1.18)	1.41 (0.51)	3.56 (1.07)	3.84 (0.69)	643.08***	40.49***	124.78***
Homog.	3.91 (1.09)	1.56 (0.60)	4.49 (1.11)	4.52 (1.10)	394.62***	626.17***	659.44***
Pos. Ster.	2.17 (0.77)	3.99 (0.66)	2.64 (1.25)	2.41 (1.14)	37.13***	422.46***	694.50***
Soc. Coh.	2.11 (0.63)	1.98 (0.54)	1.43 (0.68)	1.70 (0.60)	82.29***	5.25*	40.24***
<i>Perpetrators</i>							
Ident.	1.76 (1.00)	1.61 (0.68)	1.79 (0.78)	2.09 (0.85)	10.97***	2.96*	23.72***
Homog.	3.11 (1.58)	1.65 (0.56)	4.09 (1.32)	4.21 (1.13)	256.25***	110.03***	155.23***
Pos. Ster.	1.72 (0.58)	2.85 (0.63)	2.98 (1.44)	2.62 (1.25)	25.96***	90.09***	334.44***
Soc. Coh.	1.03 (0.56)	1.66 (0.52)	1.91 (0.67)	2.14 (0.51)	164.65***	232.77***	51.43***

Note: Standard deviations are in parentheses. \*\*\* $p < .001$ ; \*\* $p < .01$ ; \* $p < .05$ ;  $p < .10$ .

**Tableau 1 :** Mesure d'identification, d'homogénéité, de stéréotypes positifs, de climat émotionnel positif et cohésion sociale<sup>98</sup> Retrouver au chapitre II.5

Comparison of survivors who took part in Gacaca and survivors who did not yet participate (control group) for measurements taken before (Time 1) and after (Time 2) Gacaca.

	Survivors/Gacaca (N = 200)				Survivors/Control (N = 195)				Group		Time		Group x Time	
	Time 1		Time 2		Time 1		Time 2		F(1, 393)	$\eta^2$	F(1, 393)	$\eta^2$	F(1, 393)	$\eta^2$
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD						
<b>Distrust</b>	2.51	0.55	3.13	0.60	2.28	0.53	2.19	0.62	111.87***	.22	236.56***	.38	430.98***	.52
<b>Revenge</b>	2.23	0.43	2.41	0.49	3.45	0.45	3.43	0.63	831.55***	.68	5.70**	.01	9.19**	.02
<b>Forgiveness</b>	2.71	0.45	2.54	0.61	1.80	0.60	1.90	0.62	187.82***	.32	7.02**	.02	96.43***	.20
<b>Contact with perpetrators</b>	2.32	0.72	1.47	0.60	1.92	0.76	1.88	0.71	<1.0	-	1111.22***	.74	916.33***	.70
<b>Contact with survivors</b>	1.28	0.61	2.08	0.69	1.96	0.76	1.90	0.71	13.46***	.03	620.48***	.61	809.03***	.67

\*\* $p < .01$ . \*\*\* $p < .001$ .

**Tableau 2 :** Comparaison de méfiance, désir de vengeance, propension au pardon et contact intergroupe et contact intra-groupe. Chez les survivants ayant participé aux Gacaca et chez un groupe de contrôle n'y ayant pas encore participé<sup>99</sup>. Retrouver au chapitre II.5

<sup>98</sup> RIMÉ, Bernard, KANYANGARA, Patrick, YZERBYT, Vincent, et al. The impact of Gacaca tribunals in Rwanda: Psychosocial effects of participation in a truth and reconciliation process after a genocide. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 2011, vol. 41, no 6, p. 695-706.

<sup>99</sup> RIMÉ, Bernard, KANYANGARA, Patrick, PAEZ, Dario et YZERBYT, Vincent, 2014. Trust, Individual Guilt, Collective Guilt and Dispositions Toward Reconciliation Among Rwandan Survivors and Prisoners

### Combinations of reasons within the narratives of change in IET

Coding	Mentioned as						If first reason, then in combination with:						
	only reason		first reason		second or third reason		Gacaca	End of gacaca	Citizen life	Social interactions	Habituation	Private life	Emotions
Gacaca	52	17,0%	117	38,4%	136	44,6%	59	1	3	59	0	0	22
End of gacaca	4	5,6%	65	91,5%	2	2,8%	50	0	0	36	0	1	11
Citizen life	38	29,9%	63	49,6%	26	20,5%	11	0	12	45	0	4	10
Social interactions	79	23,2%	60	17,6%	202	59,2%	6	1	11	35	1	9	6
Habituation	14	53,8%	10	38,5%	2	7,7%	2	0	0	5	0	0	4
Private life	2	6,7%	13	43,3%	15	50,0%	1	0	0	10	0	1	1
Emotions	14	16,7%	16	19,0%	54	64,3%	7	0	0	12	1	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>20,6%</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>35,0%</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>44,4%</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>54</b>

Notes: The figure gives the frequency distribution of narratives of change associated with upward movements in IET, for the period 2001-2011; occurring alone or in combination, as first, second or third reason. The narratives are coded using the coding categories of the legend, which correspond to more disaggregated categories

**Tableau 3** : Mesure des raisons qui ont poussé à un changement de narration par rapport à la confiance interethnique (IET)<sup>100</sup>. Retrouver au chapitre II.5

Before and After Their Participation in Postgenocide Gacaca Courts in Rwanda. *Journal of Social and Political Psychology*. 7 août 2014. Vol. 2, n° 1, pp. 401-416. DOI 10.5964/jspp.v2i1.299.

<sup>100</sup> INGELAERE, Bert, VERPOORTEN, Marijke, *et al.* *Inter-ethnic trust in the aftermath of mass violence: insights from large-N life histories*. Universiteit Antwerpen, Institute of Development Policy and Management (IOB), 2016.