

Faculté de philosophie, arts et lettres

The media coverage of SCOTUS' LGBTQ+ cases

A study of ideologically opposed newspapers and the influence of the Presidential climate

Auteur : Emilie Degraux
Promoteur(s) : Katherine Opello
Année académique 2020-2021
Master en Communication multilingue à finalité langue des affaires

Faculty of Philosophy, Arts and Letters

The media coverage of SCOTUS' LGBTQ+ cases

A study of ideologically opposed newspapers and the influence of the Presidential climate

Author : Emilie Degraux
Supervisor : Katherine Opello
Academic year 2020-2021
Master's in Multilingual business communication

Table of appendices

TABLE OF APPENDICES	4
APPENDICES	5
APPENDIX 1 : JUSTIFICATION OF KEY WORDS	5
APPENDIX 2: EEOC	7
APPENDIX 3: KEY CONCEPTS	8
APPENDIX 4: U.S. SUPREME COURT’S CONSTITUTION	10
APPENDIX 5: TABLES READING KEY	13
APPENDIX 6: BODY OF RESEARCH	15
6.1. <i>Approved articles for Lawrence v. Texas in the Washington Post</i>	17
6.2. <i>Approved articles for Obergefell v. Hodges in the Washington Post</i>	18
6.3. <i>Articles accepted for EEOC v. G.R. & R.G. Harris Funeral Homes Inc. in the Washington Post</i>	20
6.4. <i>Approved articles for Lawrence v. Texas in the Washington Times</i>	22
6.5. <i>Approved articles for Obergefell v. Hodges in the Washington Times</i>	23
6.6. <i>Articles accepted for EEOC v. G.R. & R.G. Harris Funeral Homes Inc. in the Washington Times</i>	27

Appendices

Appendix 1 : Justification of key words

LGBT + 2015: By choosing the smallest version of the acronym (instead of LGBT+ or LGBTQ, for example) the spectrum of the search was broadened. This term being very generic, it could have been associated to each of the studied cases, but considering that the searches associated to the third case, *EEOC v. G.R. & R.G. Harris Funeral Homes Inc.*, were already associated to three key words, and the fact that the use of “LGBT” was way less common in 2003, this key was finally only applied to the study of the second case: *Obergefell v. Hodges*.

Gay marriage + 2015: Instead of simply using a key word such as “Gay + year” or variant in the *Obergefell v. Hodges* centric, it has been decided to further specify the key word by adding “marriage” to it in order to get more relevant results.

Homosexual + 2003: The use of this key word was limited to the study of the first case, *Lawrence v. Texas*, as it goes back in time further than the other two cases. The search of the word “homosexual” only for the year 2003 was made considering that for several years, GLAAD, a non-governmental media monitoring organization against defamatory coverage of LGBTQ+ issues and people, has been recommending to “*avoid identifying gay people as “homosexuals” an outdated term considered derogatory and offensive to many lesbian and gay people.*”¹ On the matter, GLAAD also confirms that “*The Associated Press, The New York Times and The Washington Post restrict use of the term “homosexual”*”².

Transgender + 2019 and 2020: This key word was directly linked to *EEOC v. G.R. & R.G. Harris Funeral Homes Inc* given the core nature of the case.

¹ GLAAD. (October 2016). GLAAD Media Reference Guide 10th Edition. GLAAD. Retrieved from <https://www.glaad.org/reference>. [Accessed on January 20, 2021].

² GLAAD. (2021). GLAAD Media Reference Guide – Terms To Avoid. GLAAD. Retrieved from <https://www.glaad.org/reference/offensive>. [Accessed on January 20, 2021].

Sodomy law: Refers to the laws confirmed through *Bowers v. Hardwick* (1986) and then repealed by *Lawrence v. Texas*. The key word “sodomy law” had a tremendous probability of appearing in articles related to the subject as their abolition can be considered as a milestone.

John Lawrence + 2003: *Lawrence v. Texas* being the oldest case studied here, it was also the most challenging one in terms of collection capacity of newspaper articles relating to it. “John Lawrence” being the plaintiff’s name, the odds of its appearance in articles were significant. Still, as both his name and his surname are very common, the addition of the year to the key word was helpful to reduce the number of false results.

Obergefell: The issue of gay marriage having been heavily and publicly discussed, even by various presidents, the name of the plaintiff has been widely covered by the media. The use of “Jim Obergefell” as a key word would therefore help gather articles on the subject.

Aimee Stephens: The plaintiff in *EEOC v. G.R. & R.G. Harris Funeral Homes Inc.* seeming to be the most covered by the media part of the case, I have considered that the use of her name would be the easiest key word. As a matter of fact, it was by far more mentioned than the funeral homes name in any type of coverage relating to it. I made the choice of not taking “EEOC” as a key word considering that the Commission is involved and mentioned in lots of articles with zero correlation to the present topic.

Appendix 2: EEOC

The different types of laws covered by the EEOC are:

- The Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA): discrimination based on age (for people aged at least of 40 years old).
- The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA): discrimination based on disability.
- The Equal Pay Act: discrimination based on sex for work financial retribution.
- The Genetic Information Non-discrimination Act (GINA): discrimination based on genetic information.
- The Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964: discrimination based on an “*individual’s race, color, religion, sex, or national origin*”³ in which “sex” include both sexual orientation and gender identity.
- The Pregnancy Discrimination Act: discrimination based on a pregnancy state.⁴

³ U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. (2020). Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. *EEOC*. Retrieved from <https://www.eeoc.gov/statutes/title-vii-civil-rights-act-1964>. [Accessed on November 6, 2020].

⁴ Guerin, L. (2020). What Is The EEOC?. *NOLO*. Retrieved from <https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/what-is-the-eeoc.html>. [Accessed on November 6, 2020].

Appendix 3: Key concepts

The various concepts included after have been raised throughout the theory part of this thesis. Therefore, they will help shape and understand the analysis of the body of research.

Concept	Definition	Link to the present study
Agenda setting	What the media puts forward shapes how we see the world. A media being for or against a certain topic thus tell people that they should adopt the same position.	We will study later in this study if the position of the media is in favor or against LGBTQ+ matters. The analysis will help us understand whether or not there actually is an agenda.
Gatekeeper	Person or group of people that chooses what to put forward and what should be ignored in the news. In their capacity, whatever it may be (editor, journalist, etc. – anyone with possible influence on the media) and according to their own preferences and beliefs, they select the information which they find the most acceptable and useful.	In the present context, we are wondering if the Presidential context has an influence on the media and its way to retaliate stories. Defining the possible quality of gatekeeper of the Presidential climate will help us answer that question.
Media agenda	Topics that the media choose to put forward in place of something else. Can be measured through the length in which the topics are explained or the amount of research put into it.	We will study the stance the media took on LGBTQ+ Supreme Court cases. It will thus be determined if the media agenda is a supporter or an opponent in this matter.

Concept	Definition	Link to the present study
Policy agenda	Topics that get the attention of people involved in the political scene, whether or not they are government officials.	The political environment and the stance of the President in office and his party at the time of each case being variables taken into account, we will determine whether or not the policy agenda adopted by the presidential team has affected the way of reporting by the media.
Pollyanna principle	People tend to focus and remember positive inputs more easily than negative ones.	Through the analyse, we will study the possibility of a greater use of positive terms than negative ones. We will thus be able to confirm or deny this theory in the present context.
Narrative paradigm	All communication that has been thought through is story telling as our background, choices, and experiences define who we are, and thus how we behave and what we need from a communication	The premises of this theory are confirmed by the nature of the body of research. In a matter of fact, we will try to go further with this theory and correlate it with the journalism tendency to use more negative events than positive ones and the psychology postulate that people remember bad things more easily than good ones. Through this, we will be able to parallel the Narrative paradigm to the Pollyanna principle and see if the confirmation or denial of one means the confirmation or the denial of the other.

Table 1: Key concepts from Section 2: Theoretical overview

Appendix 4: U.S. Supreme Court's composition

The 2003 composition of the U.S. Supreme Court was the following:

Justice	Position	Tenure	Nominated by	Affiliated party	Vote in the case
William Rehnquist	Chief Justice	September 1986 – September 2005	Ronald Reagan	Republican	Dissent
John Paul Stevens	Associate Justice	December 1975 – June 2010	Gerald Ford	Republican	Majority
Sandra Day O'Connor	Associate Justice	September 1981 – January 2006	Ronald Reagan	Republican	Concurrence
Antonin Scalia	Associate Justice	September 1986 – February 2016	Ronald Reagan	Republican	Dissent
Anthony Kennedy	Associate Justice	February 1988 – July 2018	Ronald Reagan	Republican	Majority
David Souter	Associate Justice	October 1990 – June 2009	George H. W. Bush	Republican	Majority
Clarence Thomas	Associate Justice	October 1991 – N/A	George H. W. Bush	Republican	Dissent
Ruth Bader Ginsburg	Associate Justice	August 1993 – September 2020	Bill Clinton	Democrat	Majority
Stephen Breyer	Associate Justice	August 1994 – N/A	Bill Clinton	Democrat	Majority

Table 21: U.S. Supreme Court composition and votes during Lawrence v. Texas

Regarding the votes of the case:

- A majority vote means that the justice's ruling was part of the majority that won the case.
- A concurrence vote is a justice's ruling joining the majority, but offering a different rationale than the one supported by the majority.
- A dissent vote is a justice's ruling that goes against the final verdict of the case.

The 2015 composition of the U.S. Supreme Court was the following.:

Justice	Position	Tenure	Nominated by	Affiliated party	Vote in the case
John Roberts	Chief Justice	September 2005 – N/A	George W. Bush	Republican	Dissent
Antonin Scalia	Associate Justice	September 1986 – February 2016	Ronald Reagan	Republican	Dissent
Anthony Kennedy	Associate Justice	February 1988 – July 2018	Ronald Reagan	Republican	Majority
Clarence Thomas	Associate Justice	October 1991 – N/A	George H. W. Bush	Republican	Dissent
Ruth Bader Ginsburg	Associate Justice	August 1993 – September 2020	Bill Clinton	Democrat	Majority
Stephen Breyer	Associate Justice	August 1994 – N/A	Bill Clinton	Democrat	Majority
Samuel Alito	Associate Justice	January 2006 – N/A	George W. Bush	Republican	Dissent
Sonia Sotomayor	Associate Justice	August 2009 – N/A	Barack Obama	Democrat	Majority
Elena Kagan	Associate Justice	August 2010 – N/A	Barack Obama	Democrat	Majority

Table 3: U.S. Supreme Court composition and votes during Obergefell v. Hodges

The 2019 composition of the U.S. Supreme Court was the following.:

Justice	Position	Tenure	Nominated by	Affiliated party	Vote in the case
John Roberts	Chief Justice	September 2005 – N/A	George W. Bush	Republican	Majority
Clarence Thomas	Associate Justice	October 1991 – N/A	George H. W. Bush	Republican	Dissent
Ruth Bader Ginsburg	Associate Justice	August 1993 – September 2020	Bill Clinton	Democrat	Majority
Stephen Breyer	Associate Justice	August 1994 – N/A	Bill Clinton	Democrat	Majority
Samuel Alito	Associate Justice	January 2006 – N/A	George W. Bush	Republican	Dissent
Sonia Sotomayor	Associate Justice	August 2009 – N/A	Barack Obama	Democrat	Majority
Elena Kagan	Associate Justice	August 2010 – N/A	Barack Obama	Democrat	Majority
Neil Gorsuch	Associate Justice	April 2017 – N/A	Donald Trump	Republican	Majority
Brett Kavanaugh	Associate Justice	October 2018 – N/A	Donald Trump	Republican	Dissent

Table 42: U.S. Supreme Court composition and votes during EEOC v. G.R. & R.G. Harris Funeral Homes Inc.

Appendix 5: Tables' reading key

Considering Table 12: Emergence of the body of research through the media, p.65:

- The method of research to find the articles was subject to the availability of the resources: if no digital articles were available through conventional methods, the printed version of the newspaper was chosen.
- The number of articles found via the newspaper's website correspond to the total of articles that were suggested by the website after the search of the key word. For printed newspapers, no data were equivalent to this as all the articles available in the newspapers were skimmed.
- The number of articles corresponding to the time frame refers to the number of articles that were found between the first day of a case and its last day. In the digital research, those were found among the 100 first articles skimmed as this was the limited amount available to see through the Washington Times' website.⁵ For printed newspapers, each newspaper fitting the time frame was skimmed.
- The number of articles approved corresponds to the number of articles that were actually about the case it was affiliated with, inside the number of articles corresponding to the time frame. Those articles correspond to the final body of research as they match all the criteria needed: being within the time frame of a case and being related to that case. In other words, the articles dismissed were articles that matched partly the key words, but for the wrong reasons, i.e. someone with the name "Jim" could have come up during the research for "Jim Obergefell" but have nothing in correlation with the case.

Considering Table 13: Collusion of media and cases for the *Washington Post*, p.69, and Table 14: Collusion of media and cases for the *Washington Times*, p.70:

- The total of articles before confrontation refers to the number of "articles approved" from the previous table.
- The total of articles after confrontation refers to the number of articles left after having made sure that no articles were comprised twice in the body of research. This could have happen if an article appeared with different key words referring to the same case.

⁵ See Section 1, Chapter 1, Point 4: Refining the body of the research.

- The total of pages of confirmed articles was calculated by putting all articles on the same basis – a standard Word/PDF page – and then calculating the actual total of pages this represented. To do this, only the body of the articles – so no adds, no images, and no bibliography of the author – was taken into account. For the printed newspapers, their content was re-written in a Word document in order to be compared to the rest on a same level.

Appendix 6: Body of research

I based my analysis on different “terms to use” and “terms to avoid” as GLAAD defined them⁶. This classification is reexplained hereafter.

The letter in each category was used in the six following tables to simplify the establishment of the body of research.

⁶ GLAAD, & MAP (2012).

This first table concerns the terms related to gay people and, by extension, to the LGBTQ+ community.

Terms to use				Terms to avoid			
A: Gay, Lesbian, Bi or Bisexual (as adjectives)	B: Being gay	C: Orientation or Sexual orientation	D: Gay and transgender, or Lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender	E: Homosexual or Gay (as nouns)	F: Homosexuality , Lesbianism or That's so gay	G: Sexual preference, Gay lifestyle, Homosexual Lifestyle, Same-sex attractions or Sexual identity	H: Admitted he was gay

This second table concerns the terms related to transgender people.

Terms to use			Terms to avoid			
I: Transgender (as an adjective)	J: Gender identity of Gender expression	K: Transition	L: Transgendered, Transvestite or Tranny	M: Transgender or Transgenders (as nouns)	N : Sexual identity or Transgender identity	O: Sex change, Sex-change operation, Pre-operative/post- operative or Pre-op/post-op

6.1. Approved articles for Lawrence v. Texas in the Washington Post

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
1	High court to hear Texas gay rights case	Charles Lane	March 24, 2003	Homosexual 2003 Sodomy law Lawrence 2003	2	A	E
2	Justices hear challenge to Texas sodomy law	Edward Walsh	March 27, 2003	Sodomy law Homosexual Lawrence 2003	2	0	0
3	A debate on marriage, and more, now looms	David Von Drehle	June 27, 2003	Sodomy law Lawrence 2003 Homosexual 2003	2	A	E
4	Justices overturn Texas sodomy ban	Charles Lane	June 27, 2003	Sodomy law Lawrence 2003 Homosexual 2003	3.5	A	EFG
5	Ruling may spur battle over gay marriages	Charles Lane	June 27, 2003	Lawrence 2003 Homosexual 2003	2	A	E

6.2. Approved articles for Obergefell v. Hodges in the Washington Post

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
1	Kennedy emerges as judicial champion of gay rights	Robert Barnes	June 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	3	A	E
2	Older LGBT people say Supreme Court decision on gay marriage long overdue	Frederick Kunkle	June 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 LGBT 2015 Obergefell	2.5	ABDI	0
3	The Daily 202: Gay marriage decision will become 2016 GOP litmus test	James Hohmann, Elise Viebeck	June 22, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	1.5	A	E
4	A day in court for Jim Obergefell, the face of the historic gay marriage case	Michael S. Rosenwald	April 28, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	3	A	0
5	Civilities: Marriage equality for all, and comments from Jim Obergefell, lead plaintiff in the landmark Supreme Court ruling (06 29 15)	Steven Petrow, Jim Obergefell	June 29, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell LGBT2015	6.5	ACI	E
6	From real estate agent to civil rights icon: Jim Obergefell's crazy day	Michael S. Rosenwald	June 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	1	A	0

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
7	Obama on same-sex marriage ruling: 'We have made our union a little more perfect'	David Nakamura	June 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	1.5	A	E
8	Supreme Court hears same-sex marriage case: Who said what (with audio)	Fred Barbash, Mark Berman, Sandhya Somashekhar	April 28, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	13	AC	E
9	Supreme Court rules gay couples nationwide have a right to marry	Robert Barnes	June 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	3.5	AC	E
10	The Supreme Court might legalize gay marriage. But that won't stop opponents from pushing anti-gay laws.	Michelangelo Signorile	May 7, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell LGBT 2015	3.5	ACDIJ	EF
11	The Supreme Court officially catches up with America on gay marriage	Editorial Board	June 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	1.5	AC	0

6.3. Articles accepted for EEOC v. G.R. & R.G. Harris Funeral Homes Inc. in the Washington Post

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
1	Aimee Stephens, the center of landmark transgender rights Supreme Court case, dies before the ruling	Meagan Flynn	May 13, 2020	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2020	2.5	ABCIJ	0
2	The law redeemed Aimee Stephens as a plaintiff but failed her as an individual	Monica Hesse	June 16, 2020	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2020	2	ABI	0
3	National Digest: Woman dies awaiting ruling from U.S. Supreme Court on transgender rights	Associated Press	May 13, 2020	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2020	0.5	I	0
4	Neil Gorsuch? The surprise behind the Supreme Court's surprising LGBTQ decision	Robert Barnes	June 17, 2020	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2020	2.5	AI	0
5	I'm a transgender attorney fighting for my community. Will that make a difference to the Supreme Court?	Chase Strangio	October 6, 2019	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2019	2	CI	0
6	The Supreme Court case on LGBT discrimination shouldn't be a close call	Editorial Board	October 8, 2019	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2019	1.5	ABCDIJ	0
7	The Supreme Court's ruling on LGBTQ protections is a triumph for textualism – and dignity	Joshua Mats, Robbie Kaplan	June 16, 2020	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2020	2.5	ACID	E

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
8	Will Gorsuch abandon his judicial philosophy to get what he wants?	Dana Milbank	October 9, 2019	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2019	2	ABCI	H
9	Supreme Court says gay, transgender workers protected by federal law forbidding discrimination	Robert Barnes	June 16, 2020	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2020	4	ABCDIJK	0
10	The Daily 202: Two conservatives join liberals in Supreme Court ruling to protect LGBTQ workers	James Hohmann	June 15, 2020	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2020	3	ABCDIK	EG
11	Trump nominees could play pivotal role as Supreme Court decides on protections for gay, transgender workers	Robert Barnes, Ann E. Marimow	October 9, 2019	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2019	3.5	ABCDIJK	0
12	Susan Collins faces criticism for Kavanaugh vote after his dissent in Supreme Court ruling protecting gay, transgender workers	Felicia Sonmez	June 16, 2020	Transgender 2020	1.5	ABCDIJ	0
13	U.S. Supreme Court arguments on gay, transgender rights coincide with widening culture wars overseas	Rick Noack	October 8, 2019	Transgender 2019	1	ACDIJ	0
14	Trump's effort to resist gay rights runs into his Supreme Court pick	Michael Scherer	June 16, 2020	Transgender 2019 Transgender 2020	3.5	ACDIJ	F

6.4. Approved articles for Lawrence v. Texas in the Washington Times

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
1	Sodomy decision triggers emotional reactions	<i>The Washington Times</i>	June 26, 2003	Lawrence 2003 Homosexual 2003 Sodomy law	3	0	0
2	Santorum distorts Constitution	David Lampo	April 24, 2003	Sodomy law	0.5	0	F
3	Moral relativism erodes freedom	David Limbaugh	June 29, 2003	Sodomy law Homosexual 2003	3	0	0
4	Privacy amok	<i>The Washington Times</i>	June 26, 2003	Sodomy law Homosexual 2003	2	0	0
5	The Weekly Dish	<i>The Washington Times</i>	June 26, 2003	Sodomy law Homosexual 2003	4	A	E

6.5. Approved articles for Obergefell v. Hodges in the Washington Times

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
1	Young conservatives push GOP Tolerance of gay marriage, other social issues	Kellan Howell	May 25, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 LGBT 2015	3.5	ADIK	0
2	GOP Rep. Bill Flores ties Baltimore riots to gay marriage, 'breakdown of the family'	Jessica Chasmar	April 30, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	1.5	A	0
3	Same-sex marriage is only the beginning	Cal Thomas	June 29, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	3	AJ	0
4	Colorful crowds gather as Supreme Court weighs gay marriage	Nate Madden	April 28, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 LGBT 2015	2.5	A	E
5	Court ruling against gay marriage could cause legal 'chaos'	Mark Sherman	June 9, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	3.5	A	0
6	Supreme Court to decide for believers what marriage is	Ernest Istook	April 29, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	3.5	A	E
7	Gay marriage advocates expect Supreme Court to rule in their favor	Valerie Richardson, Cheryl Wetzstein	April 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	5.5	A	0
8	Religious leaders reject gay marriage ruling as legal battles loom	Dave Boyer, Cheryl Wetzstein	June 28, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 LGBT 2015	6.5	A	E
9	Historic gay marriage ruling stirs emotion across US	The Associated Press	June 27, 2018	Gay marriage 2015	7.5	A	0

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
10	Jeb Bush: Same-sex marriage should have been decided by states	Seth McLaughlin	June 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	1	A	0
11	An embarrassing day for GOP presidential candidates	Joseph Curl	June 28, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	4	A	E
12	Gay 'marriage' affects others, too	Diana Gilbert	June 21, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	1	0	F
13	Log Cabin Republicans hail Supreme Court ruling on same-sex marriage at conservative conference	Valerie Richardson	June 28, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	3	A	0
14	March for Marriage attracts thousands to Capitol Hill	Cheryl Wetzstein	April 25, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	3.5	A	0
15	Partial to gay marriage	Mario Diaz	May 19, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	3	A	0
16	Mike Huckabee: Conservatives can ignore gay marriage ruling like Lincoln ignored Dred Scott	Valerie Richardson	June 27, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	1.5	A	0
17	Zeal of a convert: Obama hails gay marriage ruling as a 'victory for America'	Dave Boyer	June 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	2	A	0
18	States grapple with groundbreaking Supreme Court rulings on Obamacare, gay marriage	Tom Howell Jr.	June 28, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	3.5	A	0
19	Religious educators seek protection from government if gay marriage legalized	Cheryl Wetzstein	June 3, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	2.5	A	0

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
20	Rick Santorum blasts Supreme Court's gay marriage ruling as 'based on a lie'	Valerie Richardson	June 27, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	1.5	A	E
21	Civil rights and wrongs	Robert Knight	May 3, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	3.5	ACJ	EG
22	Scott Walker: High court's gay marriage ruling a 'grave mistake', calls for constitutional amendment	Seth McLaughlin	June 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	1	A	0
23	Supreme Court questions offer cautious encouragement to both sides of gay marriage debate	Cheryl Wetzstein	April 29, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 LGBT 2015 Obergefell	3.5	A	F
24	Supreme Court gay marriage cases: What to expect	Cheryl Wetzstein	April 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	2	A	0
25	Supreme Court asks why it should redefine marriage to include gays	Cheryl Wetzstein	April 28, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	6	A	E
26	Gay marriage legalized nationwide in 5-4 Supreme Court vote, Scalia seethes	Cheryl Wetzstein	June 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	3.5	A	0
27	Courting gay marriage	Tammy Bruce	April 29, 2015	Gay marriage 2015	3.5	A	E
28	What they said: Supreme Court quotes on gay marriage	Associated Press	April 28, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	4	A	0

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
29	GOP presidential candidate Mike Huckabee: Same-sex marriage ruling hurts pastors, businesses	Tom Howell Jr.	June 28, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	1.5	A	0
30	News briefs from around Tennessee at 1:58 a.m. EDT	Associated Press	June 22, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell	3.5	A	0
31	Obama phones Jim Obergefell, plaintiff in gay marriage case	David Sherfinski	June 26, 2015	Gay marriage 2015 Obergefell LGBT 2015	1	A	0

6.6. Articles accepted for EEOC v. G.R. & R.G. Harris Funeral Homes Inc. in the Washington Times

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
1	Supreme Court rules LGBT employees can sue employer for discrimination	Alex Swoyer	June 15, 2020	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2019 Transgender 2020	5.5	CIJ	G
2	Top cases for the Supreme Court's new term	The Associated Press	October 5, 2019	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2019	4.5	ACIJ	0
3	Activists weigh LGBT ballot drive after Supreme Court ruling	David Eggert	June 15, 2020	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2019 Transgender 2020	2.5	ACDIJ	0
4	AP analysis: Most states lack laws protecting LGBT workers	Russ Bynum, Angeliki Kastanis	October 15, 2019	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2019	6	ABCIJK	0
5	Divided Supreme Court weighs LGBT people's rights	Mark Sherman, Matthew Barakat	October 8, 2019	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2019	4.5	ABCIJ	0
6	Transgender woman in hospice while awaiting court ruling	Associated Press	May 11, 2020	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2019 Transgender 2020	1.5	I	0

Number	Name of the article	Author	Publication's date	Key word	Number of pages	Use of terms to use	Use of terms to avoid
7	Michigan woman at center of transgender rights case dies	Ed White	May 12, 2020	Aimee Stephens Transgender 2019 Transgender 2020	2	CIJ	0

UNIVERSITÉ CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN
Faculté de philosophie, arts et lettres

Place Blaise Pascal, 1 bte L3.03.11, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgique | www.uclouvain.be/fial